



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/210,775

12/14/1998

TOSHIAKI SHIMADA

1163-0214P

4920

7590

03/16/2006

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH  
P O BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

WONG, ALLEN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------


36

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents**

The reply brief of the application serial number 09/210,775 filed 3/26/04 has already been considered and entered. In response to the remand from the Board of Patent Appeals filed 11/15/04, the set of claims submitted in the Appeal Brief filed on 11/21/03 are not accurate. On the amendment filed 11/5/01, the marked up version of the claims 3 and 4 do not match the version of the claims filed in the Appendix of appealed claims in Appeal Brief filed 11/21/03. On lines 5-6 of claims 3 and 4, on the marked up version of claims in amendment filed 11/5/01, the term "target quantizer step sizes" was used. However, in lines 5-6 of claims 3 and 4 of the Appendix of the appealed claims filed 11/21/03, the term "target step sizes" was used, omitting the term "quantizer". As suggested by the Board of Appeals, the applicants need to submit a new Appendix to the Appeal Brief filed 8/11/03 and 11/21/03 to rectify these discrepancies of the claim language to claims 3 and 4, by including the term "quantizer" in "target quantizer step sizes", so as to advance the case forward.

  
Allen Wong  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 2613